

Supplemental Evaluation
 CCS 150/PubPol 124
 Leslie Babinski
 RESULTS 2012

[Note: Results Included Here Relate Primarily To Peer Review Assignment]

As part of a teaching fellowship I am doing, I am evaluating my efforts to help you write a high-quality research paper for this class. Please provide feedback on the three written assignments for the class – the review paper, the research paper draft, and the final research paper.

Please indicate how helpful each of the following was to you in writing your research paper.

	Not at All Helpful (1)	Slightly Helpful (2)	Somewhat Helpful (3)	Very Helpful (4)	Extremely Helpful (5)	Average score
a) The assigned chapters from the “Undergraduate Writing in Psychology” book	5	6	7	2	2	2.60
b) Discussions about the assignments in class	0	0	0	10	13	4.56
c) Handouts describing the components of the assignments	0	0	2	8	13	4.47
d) Peer feedback sessions	0	6	6	5	5	3.41
e) Writing the memo to the instructor with your draft paper	0	5	8	4	5	3.41
f) Instructor’s feedback on drafts	0	0	2	6	15	4.56
g) Individual meeting with instructor	0	0	2	5	15	4.59

[Select student comments related to peer review]

Successes with Peer Review

- I actually think reading through someone else’s report and identifying problems in their paper helped me figure out what to improve in mine.
- Soooooo unbelievably helpful!
- Helped think about own paper in new ways that I hadn’t considered.
- Kind of frustrating because the paper was not yet fully developed.
- It was good to hear feedback from my peers.
- Our peers were able to explain their comments which I found additionally helpful.
- Allowed me to see my peers’ writing style and technique. Peers saw things I didn’t.

- It was good to see what they were doing.
- It was good to know what parts someone might not be following.
- Helpful to read peer papers and compare own paper to others.
- Other eyes reading allow one to hear what they are actually saying as opposed to what they think they are saying or intend to be saying.
- I liked hearing feedback from peers, but sometimes people are too nice to be critical.
- Good for catching small grammatical errors and getting a different perspective on organization.
- Outside view is always helpful.
- It was helpful reading their drafts.
- Good to get new insight.
- Made me realize things I would not have otherwise – I ended up restructuring my entire paper.
- Different perspectives.
- Gave some good ideas that I was able to integrate.

Challenges with Peer Review

- Peer review might have been better as an overnight task. I needed more time to be truly helpful.
- I thought the memo assignment was only slightly helpful because the draft was due and instructor meeting was after the draft and before the final.
- Sometimes I thought the peer sessions would've been more productive/honest if they were done anonymously.
- Peer feedback was much less helpful than instructor feedback.